

The 19th July, 1973

No. 7274/1-L.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land specified below is needed by the Government at public expense, for public purpose, namely, for constructing Birendra Narayan Chakravarty Canal from R.D. 37000 to 58000, and Colony for Maintenance Staff of Pump House No. 3 in villages Sidhan, Mandhan and Isherwal, tehsil Bhiwani, district Bhiwani it is hereby notified that the land in the locality specified below is to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the officers of Irrigation Department for the time being engaged in the undertaking along with their servants, etc., to enter upon and survey land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by the section.

Further, where as the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land is required for B.N.C. Canal R.D. 37000 to 58000 which is of very urgent importance within the meaning of clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act and whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that the provisions of sub-section (2) of the said section are thus applicable, it is hereby directed under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5A of the said Act shall not apply in regard to this acquisition.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Boundary
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Sidhan	6.42	A strip of land measuring 21,000 feet in length and varying in widths comprising of part field numbers given as under:— 51/19, 20, 21, 22, 60/2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 21, 22, 82/1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 91/1, 2, 9, 10.
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Mandhan	31.51	10/15, 16, 17, 24, 25, 19/3, 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 13, 20, 21, 46/1, 45/5, 6, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 56/1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 22, 23, 57/5, 6, 7, 14, 16, 17, 24, 25, 77/4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 78/1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 89/1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 90/5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 107/5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 103/1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 119/1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 120/5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 133/1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 137/5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 145/1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 147/5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 163/5, 6, 15, 16, 154/1, 10, 11, 20.
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Isherwal	25.55	332/375, 313, 331/375, 800, 324, 335, 335, 297, 294, 295, 296, 290, 275, 276, 277, 240, 241, 242, 237, generally lying in the direction of north to south as demarcated at site and as shown on the index Plan.
Total			67.69	

By order of Governor of Haryana.

Dr. G. P. MALHOTRA,

Superintending Engineer,
Sewani Circle No. 2, Hissar.

The 1st August, 1973

No. 11740/2L.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing Dadri Sub-Minor from RD 0 to tail RD 8694 taking off at RD 5755-Right of Kheri Bura Minor in villages Ghasola and Dadri in tehsil Dadri, district Bhiwani, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality specified below is to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the officers of Irrigation Department for the time being engaged in the undertaking, along with their servants, and workmen etc., to enter upon and survey land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by the section.

Further whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land is required for constructing Dadri Sub-Minor Loharu Canal Project which is of very urgent instance within the meaning of clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act and whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion

that the provision of sub-section (2) of the said section, in this applicable, it is hereby directed under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5A of the said Act shall not apply in regard to this acquisition.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Boundary
Bhiwani	Dadri	Ghasola	3.72	A strip of land 8,694 feet in length varying in widths lying generally in the direction from south to north and then south-east to north-west as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan and falling in Khasra numbers as per separate statement below.
Do	Do	Dadri	7.77	
Total			11.49	

Statement showing the Khasra Numbers of land proposed to be acquired for constructing Dadri Sub Minor from R.D. 0 to tail R.D. 8604 taking off at R.D. 5755-Right of Kheri Bura Minor in villages Ghasola and Dadri in tehsil Dadri, district Bhiwani.

S. No.	Village	Tehsil	District	Hadbast number	Khasra Number
1	Ghasola	Dadri	Bhiwani	172	19 25/1, 25/5, 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 20 2 0/25, 5, 6, 15, 16 12 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 11 5, 6, 15, 16, 25 7 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 8 5, 6, 15, 16, 25
2	Dadri	Dadri	Bhiwani	147	1064, 1065, 1067, 1068, 1073, 1034, 1033, 1032, 936, 935, 934, 933, 845, 846, 840, 839, 826, 828, 834, 829, 638

No. 11748/2L.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing I.R. Dadri Sub-Minor from R.D. 0 to tail R.D. 10000 taking off at R.D. 4800-Right of Dadri Sub-Minor in village Dadri in tehsil Dadri district Bhiwani, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality specified below is to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the officers of Irrigation Department for the time being engaged in the under taking along with their servants, workmen, etc., to enter upon and survey land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by the section.

Further, whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land is required for the constructing I-R Dadri Sub-Minor Loharu Canal Project which is of very urgent importance within the meaning of clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act and where as the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that the provision of sub-section (2) of the said section are thus applicable, it is hereby directed under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5-A of the said Act shall not apply in regard to this acquisition.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Boundary
Bhiwani	Dadri	Dadri	13.84	A strip of land 1,000 feet in length, varying in widths, lying generally in the direction from South-West to North-East and than South to North as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan and falling in Khasra numbers as per separate statement given below :

Statement showing the Khasra numbers of land proposed to be acquired for constructing I-R, Dadri Sub-Minor from R.D.O. to R.D. 10,000 taking off at R. D. 4,800-Right of Dadri Sub-Minor in village Dadri in Tehsil Dadri, District Bhiwani.

Serial No.	Village	Tehsil	District	Hadbast number	Khasra Numbers
1.	Dadri	Dadri	Bhiwani	147	936, 938, 937, 939 940, 944, 946, 945, 952, 950, 9 1, 957, 800, 797, 792, 781, 682, 83, 562, 561, 559, 560, 558, 557, 471, 477, 466, 403, 405, 410, 411, 146, 147, 145, 151, 152, 144, 138

The 3rd August, 1973

No. 11869/2-L.—Whereas the declaration under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, in respect of the Land specified below has been made and published in *Haryana Government Gazette*,—vide Notification No. 28, dated 10th July, 1973.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power, under section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the Governor of Haryana hereby directs the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Irrigation and Power, Ambala, to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Boundary
Bhiwani	Dadri	Ghasola	21.24	A strip of land 40,500 feet in length, varying in widths, lying Generally in the direction from North-East to South-West as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan and falling in Khasra numbers statement as already sent.
Do	Do	Bhairwin	3.56	
Do	Do	Kalyana	15.28	
Do	Do	Mandoli	2.07	
Do	Do	Mandola	17.25	

460

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Boundary
Bhiwani	Dadri	Kalali	2.21	
Do	Do	Abidpura	14.39	
Do	Do	Balali	16.22	
Total			92.62	

By Order of Governor of Haryana,

R. S. MEHRA,

Superintending Engineer,

Loharu Canal Circle, Rohtak.

IRRIGATION BRANCH

The 13th July, 1973

No. 1032/79-L/G.C. Feeder.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, for constructing Bhanguri Link Drain No. II from R. D. 0 to R. D. 1000 outfalling at R.D. 8,450 feet of Bhanguri Link Drain No. I, in village Bhanguri-Palwal, tehsil Nuh, district Gurgaon, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality specified below is to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information for all to whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the officers of Irrigation Department for the time being engaged in the undertaking along with their servants, workmen, etc. to enter upon and survey land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by the section.

Further whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land is required for the Drainage which is of very urgent importance within the meaning of clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act and whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that the provisions of sub-section (2) of the said section are thus applicable, it is hereby directed under the sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5-A of the said Act shall not apply in regard to this acquisition.

SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Direction
Gurgaon	Nuh	Bhanguri-Palwal	0.91	A strip of land 1,000 feet in length, 44 feet in width, lying generally in the direction of East to West as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan

No. 1033/79-L/G.C. Feeder.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed urgently by the Government at public expense, namely, constructing Bhanguri Link Drain No. II from R.D. 0 to R.D. 1,000, outfalling at R.D. 450, left of Bhanguri Link Drain No. I in village Bhanguri-Palwal, tehsil Nuh, district Gurgaon, for which a notification has been issued under sub-section (4) of section 17, read with clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act and published, vide Haryana Government Notification No. 1032/79-L/G.C.F., dated 13th July, 1973, in *Haryana Government Gazette Part I*, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required urgently for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

The plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, Ambala City and the Executive Engineer, Gaunchi Division, Gurgaon Canal, Faridabad.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in acres	Direction
Gurgaon	Nuh	Bhanguri-Palwal	0.91	A strip of land 1000 feet in length and 44 feet in width lying generally in the direction of East to West as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan.

No. -1034/79-I/G.C. Feeder.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed urgently by the Government, at public expenses, namely, construction of Khatela Link Drain from R. D. 0 to R. D. 6200 outfalling at R. D. 82100 left of Gaunchi Main Drain in villages Seoli and Khatela Tehsil, Palwal District Gurgaon for which a notification has been issued under sub-section (4) of section 17 read with clause (c) of sub-section (2) of Section 17 of the said Act and published vide Haryana Government Notification No. 1001/79-E dated 25th September, 1972, in *Haryana Government Gazette*, Part I, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required urgently for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

The plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, Ambala City and the Executive Engineer, Gaunchi Division, Gurgaon Canal, Faridabad.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Direction
Gurgaon	Palwal	Seoli	1.47	A strip of land 6,500 feet in length and 49.50 feet in width lying generally in the direction of North-East to South West as demarcated at site and as shown on the Index Plan.
Do	Do	Khatela	5.59	
Total			7.06	

By order of Governor of Haryana:

D.V. GULATI,

Superintending Engineer,
WJC Feeder/Gurgaon Canal Circle,
3, Alipur Road, Delhi-6

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
BUILDING AND ROADS BRANCH

The 4th July, 1973

No. 2679-PWIII(6)-73/29037.—In pursuance of Rule 8 (9) of the Punjab Service of Engineers, Class I, Public Works Department B.&R. Branch Rules, 1960, the Governor of Haryana, on consultation with the Haryana Public Service Commission, is pleased to declare the following:

Officers as suitable for appointment to as Senior Scale of Haryana Service of Engineers, Class I (Electrical) P.W.D., B.&R. Branch:—

1. Shri A.P. Malik
2. Shri C.S. Kanwal
3. Shri K.C. Bansal

S. K. MISRA,

Commissioner & Secretary

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 30th July, 1973

No. 7628-Lab-73/27450.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), is the Governor of Haryana pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd., Pinjore.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 49 of 1971

Between

SHRI RAMJI DASS AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. HINDUSTAN MACHINE TOOLS
LTD., PINJORE

Present.—

Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish for the workman.

Shri Bhagirath Dass, for the management.

AWARD

The facts giving rise to this reference under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 may shortly be stated as under :—

Shri Ramji Dass concerned workman was in the service of M/s. Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd., Pinjore in the Painting Department. The management terminated his services with effect from 8th February, 1970 (afternoon) allegedly in view of his letter of resignation dated 21st November, 1969. Feeling aggrieved he raised a demand for his reinstatement contending that the so called resignation had been obtained from him under duress and he had no intention at all to resign. There was no satisfactory response from the management. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The matter was taken up for conciliation through the demand notice, dated 23rd May, 1970 which ended in failure as the management did not feel inclined to take him back on duty.

On receipt of the failure report from the Conciliation Officer, the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the above dispute for adjudication to this court,—vide order No. ID/UMB/177-B-70/14936-40, dated 15th May, 1971, with the following term of reference :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramji Dass was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

Usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. Shri Ramji Dass reiterated his demand for reinstatement and payment of back wages with the allegation that, as a matter of fact he had never any intention of giving up his job and the letter of resignation relied upon by the management had been obtained from him under duress and threat of a false and vexatious case. The management controverted his above allegation and contested his claim mainly on the ground that he had voluntarily tendered his resignation which has been duly accepted and as such he was not entitled to any relief by way of reinstatement or payment of any back wages.

From the pleadings of the parties the following two issues arose for determination in the case :—

(1) Whether the workman Shri Ramji Dass voluntarily resigned his services ?

(2) Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramji Dass was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The management has examined 3 witnesses namely Shri W. S. Jolly, Personnel Officer M.W. 1, Shri Karam Singh, Watchman M.W. 2, and Shri O. P. Sharma, Security Inspector M.W. 3. The documentary evidence relied upon by the management consists of the letter of resignation dated 21st November, 1969 of Shri Ramji Dass Exhibit M. 1, the statement given by Shri Ramji Dass on 21st November, 1969 Exhibit M. 2, A.D. receipt Exhibit M. 3, letter dated 9th April, 1970 addressed to Shri Ramji Dass Exhibit M. 4, cash memos Exhibits M. 5, M. 6 and M. 7, letter dated 26th March, 1970 addressed to the management by Shri Ramji Dass withdrawing his resignation Exhibit M. 8.

The sum and substance of the evidence led on behalf of the management in the case is that on 21st November, 1969 Shri Ramji Dass had been caught red handed while he was stealthily removing articles belonging to the Company and then same had been recovered from his possession by the Security Inspector. A case of theft had been reported against him to the local police. The matter was however, hashed up as he had confessed his guilt, begged for pardon and voluntarily tendered his resignation to escape the consequences of his prosecution for theft.

On the other hand, the workman has examined one witness Shri Lajpat Rai Typist W.W. 1 beside making his own statement as W.W. 2. He has further placed reliance upon a number of documents, letter dated 4th December, 1969 asking the management not to accept his resignation which had been obtained from him by

coersion Exhibit W. 1, another letter dated, 4th December, 1969 stating that the articles removed from the Tiffon Box had been placed therein by some unknown person when he was in a perplexed state of mind on account of some family circumstances Exhibit W. 2, letter, dated 11th December, 1969 again asking the management not to accept his resignation W. 3, letter dated 11th December, 1969 detailing the circumstances in which his resignation was obtained Exhibit W. 4, the suspension order, dated 21st November, 1969 Exhibit W.W. 2/1 charge-sheet, dated 27th November, 1969 Exhibit W.W. 2/2, letter dated 4th December, 1969 addressed to the management Exhibit W.W. 2/3, another letter of the same date Exhibit W.W. 2/4, letter, dated, 3rd February, 1970 addressed to the management Exhibit W.W. 2/5, postal receipt, Exhibit W.W. 2/6, A. D. receipt Exhibit W.W. 2/7, letter, dated 11th December, 1969 addressed to the management Exhibit W.W. 2/9 A. D. receipt, Exhibit W.W. 2/10, letter, dated 11th December, 1970 addressed to the management Exhibit W.W. 2/11, postal receipt Exhibit W.W. 2/12, A.D. receipt Exhibit W.W. 2/13 and letter, dated 25th/27th March, 1970 of the management Exhibit W.W. 2/14.

The case has been well argued on both sides and I have given a very considered thought to the facts on record. As would be clear from the brief history of the case stated above some article belonging to the Company had been found hidden in the Tiffon Box of Shri Ramji Dass when he was leaving the factory premises and the Security Inspector on duty had reported the matter to the management. A report had been lodged with the local police and a police officer had also visited the spot. According to the management Shri Ramji Dass had voluntarily tendered his resignation confessing his guilt and realising the consequences of his prosecution for the theft of the articles belonging to the Company. His resignation dated, 21st November, 1969 Exhibit M. 1 is on record and the same is shown to have been accepted by the management on 22nd November, 1969. The contention of Shri Ramji Dass is that this resignation had been obtained from him under duress and he had withdrawn the same before it was accepted by the management. So, the question that arises for determination in the case is two-fold, firstly, whether the said resignation had voluntarily been submitted by Shri Ramji Dass or the same had been obtained from him under any coercion and, secondly, whether he had withdrawn the resignation before it had been accepted by the management. The management has led some evidence relating to the alleged incident of theft of the articles belonging to the Company and recovery of the same from the possession of Shri Ramji Dass by examining 3 officers of the Company, namely, Shri W.S. Jolly, Personnel Officer M.W. 1, Shri Karam Chand Watchman M.W. 2 and Shri O.P. Sharma, Security Inspector M.W. 3. Nothing worth consideration has been brought out from their cross-examination to support the plea of the workman that his resignation had been obtained by exercising any pressure on him. The entire evidence brought on record on both sides does not give the impression that the management had concocted a false story regarding the theft of the article by Shri Ramji Dass. There is nothing on the record to show that the management had any motive of victimization against him. In the circumstances, the case as made out by the management appears to be true and has, therefore, got to be believed. The presumption is further irrebutable that in spite of his length of service experience and past record Shri Ramji Dass had good reasons to tender his resignation as otherwise he had to face the trial for the theft of the articles belonging to the Company which had been recovered from his possession there and then on the spot. By no stretch of imagination can it be held that the resignation was not voluntary. In any case, the burden was on him to bring on record clear and conclusive evidence that the resignation had been obtained from him by force or threat of false prosecution and it was not the result of his free-will. He has simply failed to discharge this burden.

The other plea of the workman that the order of the termination of his services was not justified because he had withdrawn the resignation is also devoid of force for the simple and obvious reason that the resignation had been accepted by the management before he had expressed his intention to withdraw the same. The resignation was submitted by him on 21st November, 1969 and the same was accepted by the management on 22nd November, 1969 with effect from 28th November, 1969 (afternoon). The acceptance of the resignation was communicated to him on 25th/27th March, 1970. The entire correspondence relating to the so called withdrawal of the resignation relied upon by Shri Ramji Dass relates to the period subsequent to the acceptance of the resignation and as such is of no help to him to support his plea that he had withdrawn the resignation before the same had been accepted by the management. The management having accepted the resignation,—vide order, dated 22nd November, 1969 which had to take effect from 28th November, 1969 (afternoon) his services stood terminated with effect from that date. The case might have been different if he had withdrawn the resignation or expressed his intention to do so before the management had taken action on his resignation as stated above.

It has been argued on behalf of the workman that the management was displeased with him on account of his trade union activities and it was for this reason that his services had been terminated. The contention is wholly irrelevant in view of my above findings that he had voluntarily submitted his resignation and the same had been accepted by the management before he had expressed his intention to withdraw the same. There is further no evidence to substantiate the above plea of victimisation. No other point worth consideration has been urged and for the reasons given above both the issues are decided against the workman and in favour of the management. In the result, Shri Ramji Dass is not entitled to any relief by way of reinstatement or payment of back wages. The award is made accordingly. There shall however, be no order as to costs.

The 18th July, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 1711, dated the 23rd July, 1973.

Forwarded four copies to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 7635-4Lab-73/27461.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and management of M/s. Hans Metal Industries, Kalyan Nagar Puria Gate, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 18 of 1973

between

SHRI ROOP LAL AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HANS METAL INDUSTRIES,
KALYAN NAGAR PURIA GATE. ADDA, JAGADHRI

Present.—

Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish, for the workman.

Nemo, for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s Hans Metal Industries, Kalyan Nagar Puria Gate Adda, Jagadhri and its workman Shri Roop Lal was referred for adjudication to this court by the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide order No. ID/AMB/275-A-72/101262-66, dated 13th March, 1973:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Roop Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties. The management has pleaded settlement and application, dated 16th June, 1973 of Shri Roop Lal concerned workman has been filed, wherein it has been stated by him in clear words that he has no dispute with the management. His authorised representative Shri Madhusudan Saran Kaushish has no instructions from the workman to proceed with the reference.

In view of the above, it is not necessary to proceed with the case and a no dispute award is given. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated, the 19th July, 1973.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1703, dated 23rd July, 1973.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.